EJSIT
European Journal of Science, Innovation and Technology
ISSN: 2786-4936
www.ejsit-journal.com
Volume 5 | Number 3 | 2025

The Role of Circular Economy Models in Reducing Waste and Promoting Sustainable Growth in the United Kingdom

Barnabas S. Stanfast (PhD)[1] and Sonia I. Stanfast[2]
[1,2]Independent Researcher, United Kingdom

ABSTRACT

The progressive environmental problems resulting from waste production and resource exhaustion require the development of new economic approaches. The circular economy (CE) model offers a viable approach that enables simultaneous economic development and environmental protection. This study examines how CE strategies could minimize waste and promote sustainable progress throughout the United Kingdom. The study shows that incorporating CE principles into policies could lead to significant environmental advantages by reducing landfill waste, resource extraction, and simultaneously create economic prospects through new market development and job creation. The study found key obstacles like regulatory fragmentation and technological limitations but noted that analysing consumer behaviour patterns and proposing strategic solutions for policymakers and industry leaders could enhance implementation of CE. This study concludes that successful integration of circular economy within the UK economy requires a unified effort from various stakeholders to build resilience and sustainability after the pandemic.

Keywords: Circular Economy, Waste Reduction, Sustainable Growth, Resource Efficiency, Policy Frameworks, Environmental Sustainability, Industry Innovation, Recycling, Circular Business Models

INTRODUCTION

Current global economic systems operate on a linear model which follows the 'take-make-dispose' production and consumption approach. The current economic model creates remarkable growth but also produce serious environmental issues like climate change and biodiversity loss with increasing waste problems. The UK needs to deal with serious waste management problems across packaging, construction and electronic waste for its population of over 66 million and diverse industrial base while pursuing ambitious goals for carbon neutrality and resource efficiency (Aiguobarueghian et al., 2024; DEFRA, 2023).

The circular economy (CE) paradigm delivers a transformative strategy which design products and systems that reduce waste, enhance reuse and recycling to restore natural systems. The concept of circular economy has attracted widespread support from policymakers, industry executives, and environmental organizations because it combines economic progress with environmental sustainability (Androniceanu et al., 2021; Dey et al., 2022b).

Current environmental issues and the need for sustainable growth have sparked interest in circular economy models (CE) specifically within the United Kingdom. The circular economy introduced a new economic paradigm that moves beyond traditional linear economic models by enhancing resource efficiency and waste reduction to achieve sustainable development goals (Rodriguez-Anton et al., 2019). The CE models reduce waste generation by employing innovative recycling methods, sustainable material management, and boosting the economy (Hysa et al., 2020).

Abad-Segura et al. (2020) described how circular economic policies create environmental benefits by reducing waste and promoting sustainable waste management systems. The United Kingdom currently faces major waste management challenges such as

plastic pollution and landfill abuse which makes pertinent to tap into the benefits of circular economy. Circular economy strategies can turn waste into valuable resources and generate economic opportunities that boost national growth (George et al., 2015). Environmental sustainability exploitation within the CE framework drives innovation, enhances economic performance, and generates new job opportunities (Schröder et al., 2020). UK political initiatives acknowledged circular economy as a valuable concept. The connection between circular economy methodologies and elements affecting sustainable development remains underexplored. Research on connection of CE models with economic systems exists yet still lacks clarity about long-term effects across multiple economic industries (Lin, 2020). The absence of global awareness hinders the creation of strong policies that promote widespread adoption of CE practices.

Research into how circular economy measures support United Nations sustainable development goals remains in its early stages. Research identified potential connections between specific circular economy practices and sustainable development goals but lacks adequate empirical support according to Ogunmakinde et al. (2022). The impact of these models on economic growth and sustainability measurements in the United Kingdom requires a more detailed examination. Research gaps still exist despite the clear benefits of circular economy models for reducing waste and achieving sustainable growth. Politicians and stakeholders must grasp the detailed dynamics of these relationships to harness circular economies' transformative capabilities within the United Kingdom. It is essential therefore to confirm current theories and create strategies that meet economic goals and address environmental necessities (Androniceanu et al., 2021). This forms the basis for this study which seeks to examine the role of circular economy models in reducing waste and promoting sustainable growth in the UK.

Objectives of the Study

The growing importance of studying circular economy models in the UK stems from the immediate requirement to decrease waste and promote sustainable growth. These models strive to reduce waste by using innovative methods which promote sustainability specifically within production sectors and other areas. According to Kumar et al. (2019), the shift to CE delivers environmental benefits and simultaneously generate economic value through enhanced resource efficiency and decreased operational expenses. The electronic waste management sector demonstrates this economic shift and reveal substantial obstacles moving from linear to circular models (Sundar et al., 2023).

The implementation of circular economy initiatives faces difficulties such as infrastructure limitations and uncommitted stakeholders which Govindan and Hasanagic (2018) called major supply chain obstacles. Van Ewijk (2018) emphasized how well-designed policies can enhance resource efficiency within the circular economy framework. The United Kingdom can fully realize the benefits of circular economy when global political recommendations are established to address these challenges and create economically profitable opportunities. Through effective implementation of CE strategies, the United Kingdom economy can achieve sustainable growth and minimize waste production.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Conceptual Foundations of Circular Economy

Webster (2015) showed how fundamental principles from industrial ecology, biomimicry and sustainable design have led to the development of CE concept. The circular economy stands apart by emphasizing resource loop closure and product lifespan extension while focusing on ecosystem regeneration. Circular economy (CE) now serves as the essential

framework for tackling sustainability challenges through a systemic approach which stands in direct opposition to the conventional linear economy model. The literature review examines circular economy foundations and focuses specifically on designing for product longevity, resource efficiency, prolonging product life cycles, recycling practices, and systemic value chain thinking.

Longevity stands at the heart of circular economy since it promotes product design that emphasizes durability, repair, and improvement capabilities. Circular economy design strategies reject planned obsolescence to favour products that maintain value over time and adapt to consumer needs (Devadula & Chakrabarti, 2015). The shift in paradigm requires resource efficiency because effective material use must reduce waste and boost productivity during the entire product life cycle (Figge et al., 2018). The systemic thinking approach mandates that circularity needs to be incorporated throughout both design stages and value chains to generate substantial environmental and economic advantages (Iacovidou et al., 2021).

Circularity requires product life extension which depends on innovative commercial approaches that prioritize repair and reuse over disposal according to Murray et al. (2017). The implementation of recycling initiatives functions as an additional approach that focuses on material recovery and regeneration following the completion of a product's life cycle. The process of recycling helps complete the resource loop but requires well-designed systems to enable material recovery (Den Hollander et al., 2017).

The development of the concept of circular economy has changed through time as people better understand the complexities of moving to a circular system. Kirchherr et al. (2023) analysed in depth definitions of circular economy and how environmental, economic, and social dimensions make up the interdisciplinary nature of the concept. No statement can fully capture how crucial a systemic perspective remains because it fosters stakeholder collaboration which enhances resource productivity and integration of value chain (Blomsma & Brennan, 2017; Desing et al., 2020). These understandings call for a fundamental change towards adopting circular economy principles throughout design practices, production methods, and consumer habits. Through systemic thinking applied to longevity, resource efficiency, extended product lifespan and recycling, the circular economy can help meet sustainable development objectives thereby demonstrating its potential to transform modern society.

Policy Context and International Frameworks

The European Commission (2020) established crucial objectives including recycling 65% of municipal waste by 2035. With advent of Brexit, the UK created its own environmental strategies which aligned with these principles through initiatives like the 25-Year Environment Plan (DEFRA, 2018) and DEFRA (2022). The policies intend to separate economic growth from both resource consumption and waste production.

International policies that promote sustainable economic growth through waste reduction substantially impact the United Kingdom's transition to circular economy. The United Kingdom demonstrates its dedication to Circular Economy through its participation in major international projects focused on sustainable development goals. Rodriguez-Anton et al. (2019) established a strong connection between CE practices and Open-Source Software (OSS) accomplishments while emphasizing their importance for resource management sustainability.

Current research demonstrates that CE policies must be implemented to direct environmental sustainability and economic resilience. Abad-Segura et al. (2020) state that these policies help achieve a substantial decrease in waste production and enhance resource efficiency which serves as essential elements of sustainable development. Study by Fletcher and Dunk (2018) supports these findings through examination of how the United Kingdom's waste strategy adheres to CE principles. A unified strategy that includes CE elements can enhance waste management practices and achieve better waste reduction outcomes.

The United Kingdom benefits from international policies which help facilitate its transition with domestic initiatives. Yuille et al. (2022) argued that the United Kingdom government policy evaluates the potential for developing circular economy and demonstrate how these policies help achieve waste reduction and sustainable economic growth. The application of circular economy principles to nutrient management shows the complex methods needed to tackle waste issues and enhance economic resilience in the United Kingdom. The current array of policies and initiatives shaping circular economy models in the UK emphasize the nation's strong dedication to cutting waste and fostering sustainable economic expansion. National and international joint efforts exhibit a new model which emphasizes sustainability by implementing inventive economic approaches.

Industry Adoption and Case Studies

Interface Carpets in the UK leads closed-loop carpet tile production to minimize raw material use and decrease waste generation. The electronics industry is evaluating product-as-a-service models to prolong device lifecycles (WRAP, 2021). Industries show inconsistent adoption rates because they face obstacles like expensive initial investments and unclear regulatory frameworks. The shift toward circular economy framework advances across multiple UK industries as organizations focus on minimizing waste and encouraging sustainable business growth. Sundar et al. (2023) investigated electronic waste management and identified obstacles that prevent the shift from a linear system toward a circular economy model. It is important to understand these barriers before deploying circular economy practices that will substantially reduce environmental impacts. Tavri (2020) explored organizational collaborative reuse methods which can reduce waste production and simultaneously boost economic growth.

Research has extensively focused on the engagement of small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) in circular economy practices. Research by Dey et al. (2022a) shows that European SMEs are increasingly implementing circular economy business approaches as they move towards sustainable operations. The research findings revealed that these practices lead to waste reduction, and enhanced market competitiveness and organizational resilience in fast-changing environments. Also, Dey et al. (2022b) argued that SMEs can achieve greater sustainability by incorporating circular economy principles which depend heavily on innovative supply chain practices that secure long-term profitability.

The combination of Industry 4.0 technologies with circular economy approaches reveals possibilities for more intelligent waste management systems. Fatimah et al. (2020) case study described how merging advanced technologies enables sustainable development targets through optimized resource utilization. The case studies showed how circular economy models operate effectively across UK industries to minimize waste and simultaneously drive sustainable economic growth in multiple sectors.

Challenges and Opportunities

The United Kingdom sees growing relevance for the concept of circular economy because organizations seek new ways to reduce waste and achieve sustainable growth. Multiple obstacles serve as significant impediments to the implementation of circular economy models. The biggest obstacle centres around transforming linear systems into circular systems and this problem is particularly evident in electronic waste management sectors. According to Sundar et al. (2023), economic disparities, regulatory inconsistencies, and stakeholder disengagement are primary obstacles that complicate the transition toward circular economy practices. The electronics industry faces these challenges most clearly because e-waste production keeps growing and needs systemic reform according to Gaur et al. (2023).

The numerous challenges that exist do not overshadow the considerable potentials circular economy practices hold to boost sustainable growth. According to Aiguobarueghian et al. (2024), circular economy practices deliver substantial economic benefits and environmental sustainability improvements. Product life cycle extension and resource recovery-focused waste management strategies can reduce operational expenses and foster local innovation. The convergence of economic and environmental interests receives emphasis in the work of Apostu et al. (2023). The study revealed that transitioning to circular economy practice allows for economic growth and environmental resilience which leads to better global societal well-being.

The deployment of digital technologies serves as a crucial mechanism for addressing numerous challenges in this implementation process of circular economy practices (Kumar et al., 2023). Technological innovations create advanced monitoring systems which enhance resource oversight and waste management processes in food supply chains resulting in higher operational efficiency and decreased waste production. Digital transformation represents a vital requirement for enterprises aiming to create sustainable operations through circular economy innovation. Digital platforms strengthen stakeholder collaboration, fosters collective waste reduction strategies and recycling campaigns (Apostu et al., 2023). The United Kingdom's path to a sustainable circular economy through technological advancement and barrier removal will set a global standard for environmental and economic responsibility (Gaur et al., 2023; Sundar et al., 2023). The dynamic interaction among these elements demonstrates how circular economies can establish robust systems that address current environmental and economic challenges.

FINDINGS

Waste Reduction Outcomes

The practice of implementing CE results in significant waste reduction. For example, between 2015 and 2022 UK household recycling rates rose from 44% to 59% (DEFRA, 2023). Recycling rates for construction and demolition waste have reached 85% in certain regions according to UKCG (2022) findings. Enhanced trash collection and recycling methods have decreased plastic waste in the ocean environment, but some difficulties persist.

The adoption of a circular economy model in the United Kingdom has brought about substantial changes for waste reduction across multiple sectors including household activities, construction projects and maritime settings. Waste management practices are progressively adopting circular economy principles that focus on maximizing resource efficiency and minimizing waste production. Sundar et al. (2023) illustrate the difficulties faced by electronic waste management systems during this shift and identified barriers that prevent recycling rates from rising. Waste streams face these pervasive barriers which necessitate structured changes to boost public engagement and dedication.

Circular economy initiatives prioritize increasing household recycling rates as a primary goal. Hailemariam and Erdiaw-Kwasie (2023) suggested that better household recycling procedures can make a substantial impact on emission reduction and environmental preservation. The effectiveness of recycling programs depends on household involvement because it determines how much recyclable material is kept from waste streams and reintegrated into the economy.

The construction sector represents a critical opportunity for adopting circular economy strategies because of its traditional association with substantial waste generation. Tomić and Schneider (2020) revealed that changing waste management approaches in construction projects results in major socio-economic benefits like decreased material use and enhanced recycling processes.

Circular economy models present a significant opportunity for the effective management of marine plastic waste. This area requires enhanced practices to mitigate environmental harm. Slorach et al. (2019) analysed economic and environmental outcomes of food waste resource recovery. The study provided insights into marine waste management practices through resource recovery methods that preserve marine ecosystems. In addition, Obeidat et al. (2023) argued that the integration of green human resource management by circular economy leaders enhances sustainable performance and waste management across different sectors. The integrated method helps create awareness and responsibility for waste reduction which supports the advancement of sustainability and circular economy practices within the United Kingdom.

Economic Impacts

According to UK Government statistics from DEFRA (2022), the UK circular economy market stood at approximately £9 billion in 2020 but is projected to grow to £50 billion by 2030. Scaling CE practices could yield approximately 200,000 new jobs in repair, remanufacturing and recycling sectors according to job creation estimates. Organizations that implement CE models achieve savings between 10% to 20% as a direct result of improved material efficiency and decreased costs for waste disposal. The United Kingdom uses complex circular economy models to drive economic growth by improving market evaluation methods, generating business cost savings, and creating job opportunities. The shift from linear economic models to circular economic systems demands systematic waste management and sustainable practices which Aiguobarueghian et al. (2024) identify as key drivers for economic advancement. Sustainable practices could lead to better environmental responsibility, and enhanced market evaluation for companies that implement these strategies.

The circular economy depends on job creation which is essential because it involves utilizing workers who possess interdisciplinary abilities for successful sustainability management. Mukherjee et al. (2023) noted that the United Kingdom's shift toward sustainability generates opportunities for green work development which calls for specialized training and educational programs that match the new industrial standards. These programs no doubt come with its attendant challenges (Guerreschi et al., 2023). Organizations implementing circular economic models could achieve substantial cost savings by optimizing resource efficiency and minimizing waste generation (Fatimah et al., 2023). The UK electronic waste sector demonstrates how organizations that shift to circular economy business models achieve operational advantages and secure position in emerging market segments (Sundar et al., 2023).

The circular economy acts as a powerful growth engine for the United Kingdom while evaluating interconnected markets, generating employment, and cost savings which positions the country as a leader in sustainable economic development.

Enablers and Barriers

The United Kingdom's shift from linear to circular economy has gained major focus because research and implementation face comes with opportunities and barriers during the change process. Essential keys in this process are governmental support policies and innovative technological advancements. The circular economy's development has been significantly supported by government policies through regulations promoting sustainability and waste reduction according to Upadhyay et al. (2021). Technological advancements enable new business models to harness resource efficiency and waste reuse capabilities (Tan et al., 2022).

Research efforts in circular economy have faced multiple challenges that slow down its development. Consumer habits that prioritize convenience and routine arrangements has been a challenge to circular economy principles according to research findings by Sundar et al. (2023). The education of consumers about benefits of recycling and durable products will shift

attitudes but needs thorough awareness and marketing campaigns (Govindan & Hasanagic, 2018).

Another significant obstacle is regulatory environments. Inconsistent or missing legislation prevents effective implementation of circular economy practices. Key players in the supply chain face complicated regulations that impede innovative activities and cooperative efforts between organizations (Andrews, 2020). Companies experience widespread investment concerns because they need to balance the initial transition costs of circular economy practices against the sustainability benefits seen over the long term (Kumar et al., 2019). Industries lacking strong economic reasons to adopt circular economy models show significant financial reluctance.

The workforce skills gap between current employee abilities and circular economy role requirements also stands as a significant barrier. Workforce training and education programs need to integrate circular economy principles to prepare employees for enabling organizational innovation and efficiency (Tan et al., 2022).

The United Kingdom needs to use a multi-faceted strategy to address both the enablers and barriers to achieve a successful circular economy transformation. Essential elements to tackle circular economy challenges and seize its opportunities include promotion of stakeholder collaboration, defence of supportive policies, and investment in information technology (Govindan & Hasanagic, 2018; Sundar et al., 2023). The United Kingdom needs continuous research and innovative practices during this transition to ensure a sustainable and equitable future.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study demonstrate how CE models can revolutionize waste management practices and strengthen economic resilience across the UK. The application of CE principles to industrial methods produces positive environmental impacts like decreased landfill use, reduction of greenhouse gas and economic advantages through market expansion and job creation. However, the transition requires overcoming systemic barriers. Regulatory systems need to be unified and streamlined to encourage circular economy practices. The implementation of digital platforms for tracking resource helps achieve more efficient resource use through technological innovation. There is need to strengthen consumer engagement through educational outreach and incentive programs.

The COVID-19 pandemic highlighted how essential resilient supply chains and localized resource loops are while simultaneously increasing the relevance of CE models. While UK policies are progressing in this area, implementation still faces significant difficulties. The circular economy (CE) model presents a revolutionary method for decreasing waste and achieving sustainable development specifically within the British framework. Research indicates that the circular economy functions as a revolutionary sustainability model which challenges conventional linear economic methodologies (Geissdoerfer et al., 2017). The idea reflects peer-reviewed perspectives that advocate for the transformation of economic systems towards waste reduction, sustainable growth, and balanced environmental-economic system interactions (Ghisellini et al., 2016).

The British circular economy research identifies reductionist trends as a major flaw within traditional university perspectives. Valenzuela and Böhm (2017) identified that one limitation to the implementation of CE is its tendency to focus on resource effectiveness instead of the needed systemic changes for long-term sustainability. Corvellec et al. (2022) analysis demonstrates that waste management models commonly overlook social dynamics and socio-political dimensions. The practical implementation of these theoretical innovations' challenges current waste reduction frameworks by creating conflicts between anticipated outcomes and actual achievements.

Recent academic work challenges existing circular economy models because traditional performance indicators fail to capture sustainable development complexities (Corona et al., 2019). The current critique marks a departure from earlier research which centres primarily on measurable economic expansion within circular systems. The implementation of CE principles in development regions creates special opportunities for waste valuation which broadens sustainability possibilities in resource-linked scenarios (Ferronato et al., 2019). UK research displays both agreement and divergence compared to established perspectives concerning waste reduction and sustainable growth within circular economy studies. The ultimate sustainability goal shows many parallels between them, but divergence appears primarily in how they address systemic change and social equity as well as the boundaries of current circular economy measures. The current university literature shows distinct discussions about how well the circular economy can reduce waste and achieve sustainable growth but notes that new empirical studies are needed to address existing research gaps.

CONCLUSION

The implementation of circular economy models throughout the United Kingdom presents a major opportunity to reduce waste and promote sustainable development across different industries. The circular economy functions on fundamental principles focused on maximizing resource efficiency, minimizing waste, and restoring natural systems. Multidimensional strategies that integrate sectors and enhance policies are crucial for transforming the United Kingdom into a circular economy.

The sector integration recommendations emphasize building collaborative platforms which bring together producers, consumers, and waste management organizations. Knowledge sharing and innovation dissemination through these platforms could help to create sustainable practices among industries. With circular economy models, businesses can develop products with extended lifespans that also feature improved repairability and recyclability. The United Kingdom stands to gain by providing tax reductions or subsidies to businesses that implement sustainable practices. Green technology investments will increase, and supply chains will adopt circular methodologies.

The transition to a circular economy requires political advancements that hold equal importance. The UK government needs to implement international regulatory frameworks that will help develop circular business initiatives. Manufacturers would need to take responsibility for their products throughout the entire product life cycle to encourage sustainable practices starting from production to disposal. The government needs to establish specific protocols for waste management which should emphasize recycling and composition to decrease reliance on landfill disposal.

Public awareness and educational initiatives serve as essential components to advance society toward embracing circular economy models. Educational initiatives that increase awareness about the benefits of circular economy allow consumers to choose sustainably and demand environmentally friendly products. The focus of these initiatives should extend to schools, communities, and companies to make sure all interested parties understand and accept their role in this transformation process.

National strategies become more effective when we work with local governments to support regional circular economy initiatives. The evaluation of solutions which cater for specific local conditions enables communities to manage their resources and waste effectively, this could lead to sustainable economic growth based on regional requirements.

The United Kingdom's transition to a successful circular economy depends on sector partnerships, strong political development and public participation strategies. Through prioritization of sustainable practices and investment in innovative solutions, the United Kingdom will be able to lower waste generation and promote economic growth and resilience

which ultimately leads to a sustainable future. Research must investigate how CE initiatives unfold over time while focusing on socio-economic justice and regional differences. Other nations committed to sustainable development can use the UK's effective adoption of CE principles as their guiding model.

REFERENCES

Abad-Segura, E., Fuente, A. B. d. l., González-Zamar, M.-D., & Belmonte-Ureña, L. J. (2020). Effects of circular economy policies on the environment and sustainable growth: Worldwide research. *Sustainability*, *12*(14), 1-27. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12145792

Aiguobarueghian, I., Adanma, U. M., Ogunbiyi, E. O., & Solomon, N. O. (2024). Waste management and circular economy: A review of sustainable practices and economic benefits. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *22*(2), 1708-1719. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.22.2.1517

Andrews, D. (2020). *The role of design as a barrier to and enabler of the circular economy*. https://books.google.co.uk/books?hl=en&lr=&id=6CwNEAAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA39&dq=Andrews,+D.+(2020).+The+role+of+Design+as+a+barrier+to+and+enabler+of+the+Circular+Economy.+&ots=1Q372bQSuZ&sig=-xbiL7vcKIHDk8fmilOW2OB8wJU&redir_esc=y#v=onepage&q=Andrews%2C%20D.%20(2020).%20The%20role%20of%20Design%20as%20a%20barrier%20to%20and%20enabler%20of%20the%20Circular%20Economy.&f=false

Androniceanu, A., Kinnunen, J., & Georgescu, I. (2021). Circular economy as a strategic option to promote sustainable economic growth and effective human development. *Journal of International Studies (2071-8330)*, *14*(1), 60-73. https://www.ceeol.com/search/article-detail?id=978406

Apostu, S. A., Gigauri, I., Panait, M., & Martín-Cervantes, P. A. (2023). Is Europe on the way to sustainable development? Compatibility of green environment, economic growth, and circular economy issues. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *20*(2), 1-17. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20021078

Blomsma, F., & Brennan, G. (2017). The emergence of circular economy: A new framing around prolonging resource productivity. *Journal of industrial ecology*, *21*(3), 603-614. https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12603

Corona, B., Shen, L., Reike, D., Carreón, J. R., & Worrell, E. (2019). Towards sustainable development through the circular economy: A review and critical assessment on current circularity metrics. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *151*, 1-15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.104498

Corvellec, H., Stowell, A. F., & Johansson, N. (2022). Critiques of the circular economy. *Journal of industrial ecology*, *26*(2), 421-432. https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.13187

DEFRA. (2018). A green future: Our 25 year plan to improve the environment. In: Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs.

DEFRA. (2022). Resources and waste strategy for England. In: Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs.

DEFRA. (2023). UK waste and recycling statistics. In: Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs.

Den Hollander, M. C., Bakker, C. A., & Hultink, E. J. (2017). Product design in a circular economy: Development of a typology of key concepts and terms. *Journal of industrial ecology*, *21*(3), 517-525. https://doi.org/10.1111/jiec.12610

Desing, H., Brunner, D., Takacs, F., Nahrath, S., Frankenberger, K., & Hischier, R. (2020). A circular economy within the planetary boundaries: Towards a resource-based, systemic approach. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *155*, 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.104673

Devadula, S., & Chakrabarti, A. (2015). *Design strategies for circular economy* International Conference on Engineering Design, ICED15, Politecnico Di Milano, Italy. https://www.designsociety.org/publication/37668/design_strategies_for_circular_economy

Dey, P. K., Malesios, C., De, D., Budhwar, P., Chowdhury, S., & Cheffi, W. (2022a). Adoption of circular economy practices in small and medium-sized enterprises: Evidence from Europe. *International Journal of Production Economics*, *248*, 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2022.108496

Dey, P. K., Malesios, C., De, D., Budhwar, P., Chowdhury, S., & Cheffi, W. (2022b). Circular economy to enhance sustainability of small and medium sized enterprises. In *Supply chain sustainability in small and medium sized enterprises* (pp. 10-45). Routledge. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/chapters/edit/10.4324/9781003018551-2/circular-economy-enhance-sustainability-small-medium-sized-enterprises-prasanta-kumar-dey-chrisovaladis-malesios-debashree-de-pawan-budhwar-soumyadeb-chowdhury-walid-cheffi

European Commission. (2020). Circular economy action plan. In: European Union.

Fatimah, Y. A., Govindan, K., Murniningsih, R., & Setiawan, A. (2020). Industry 4.0 based sustainable circular economy approach for smart waste management system to achieve sustainable development goals: A case study of Indonesia. *Journal of cleaner production*, *269*, 1-22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122263

Fatimah, Y. A., Kannan, D., Govindan, K., & Hasibuan, Z. A. (2023). Circular economy e-business model portfolio development for e-business applications: Impacts on ESG and sustainability performance. *Journal of cleaner production*, *415*, 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.137528

Ferronato, N., Rada, E. C., Portillo, M. A. G., Cioca, L. I., Ragazzi, M., & Torretta, V. (2019). Introduction of the circular economy within developing regions: A comparative analysis of advantages and opportunities for waste valorization. *Journal of environmental management*, *230*, 366-378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.09.095

Figge, F., Thorpe, A. S., Givry, P., Canning, L., & Franklin-Johnson, E. (2018). Longevity and circularity as indicators of eco-efficient resource use in the circular economy. *Ecological economics*, *150*, 297-306. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2018.04.030

Fletcher, C. A., & Dunk, R. M. (2018). In the search for effective waste policy: Alignment of UK waste strategy with the circular economy. *Detritus*, *4*, 48-62. https://doi.org/10.31025/2611-4135/2018.13740

Gaur, T. S., Yadav, V., Mittal, S., & Sharma, M. K. (2023). A systematic review on sustainable E-waste management: Challenges, circular economy practices, and a conceptual framework. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, *35*(4), 858-884. https://doi.org/10.1108/meq-05-2023-0139

Geissdoerfer, M., Savaget, P., Bocken, N. M., & Hultink, E. J. (2017). The circular economy: A new sustainability paradigm? *Journal of cleaner production*, *143*, 757-768. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.12.048

George, D. A., Lin, B. C.-a., & Chen, Y. (2015). A circular economy model of economic growth. *Environmental modelling & software*, *73*, 60-63. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsoft.2015.06.014

Ghisellini, P., Cialani, C., & Ulgiati, S. (2016). A review on circular economy: The expected transition to a balanced interplay of environmental and economic systems. *Journal of cleaner production*, *114*, 11-32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.09.007

Govindan, K., & Hasanagic, M. (2018). A systematic review on drivers, barriers, and practices towards circular economy: A supply chain perspective. *International journal of production research*, *56*(1-2), 278-311. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2017.1402141

Guerreschi, A., Piras, L., & Heck, F. (2023). Barriers to efficient knowledge transfer for a holistic circular economy: Insights towards green job developments and training for young professionals. *Youth*, *3*(2), 553-578. https://doi.org/10.3390/youth3020038

Hailemariam, A., & Erdiaw-Kwasie, M. O. (2023). Towards a circular economy: Implications for emission reduction and environmental sustainability. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *32*(4), 1951-1965. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3229

Hysa, E., Kruja, A., Rehman, N. U., & Laurenti, R. (2020). Circular economy innovation and environmental sustainability impact on economic growth: An integrated model for sustainable development. *Sustainability*, *12*(12), 1-16. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12124831

Iacovidou, E., Hahladakis, J. N., & Purnell, P. (2021). A systems thinking approach to understanding the challenges of achieving the circular economy. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, *28*, 24785-24806. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-11725-9

Kirchherr, J., Yang, N.-H. N., Schulze-Spüntrup, F., Heerink, M. J., & Hartley, K. (2023). Conceptualizing the circular economy (revisited): An analysis of 221 definitions. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *194*, 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2023.107001

Kumar, M., Raut, R. D., Jagtap, S., & Choubey, V. K. (2023). Circular economy adoption challenges in the food supply chain for sustainable development. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *32*(4), 1334-1356. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3191

Kumar, V., Sezersan, I., Garza-Reyes, J. A., Gonzalez, E. D., & Al-Shboul, M. d. A. (2019). Circular economy in the manufacturing sector: Benefits, opportunities and barriers. *Management decision*, *57*(4), 1067-1086. https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-09-2018-1070/full/html

Lin, B. C.-a. (2020). Sustainable growth: A circular economy perspective. *Journal of Economic Issues*, *54*(2), 465-471. https://doi.org/10.1080/00213624.2020.1752542

Mukherjee, P. K., Das, B., Bhardwaj, P. K., Tampha, S., Singh, H. K., Chanu, L. D., Sharma, N., & Devi, S. I. (2023). Socio-economic sustainability with circular economy: An alternative approach. *Science of the Total Environment*, *904*, 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.166630

Murray, A., Skene, K., & Haynes, K. (2017). The circular economy: An interdisciplinary exploration of the concept and application in a global context. *Journal of business ethics*, *140*, 369-380. https://doi.org/10.1007/S10551-015-2693-2

Obeidat, S. M., Abdalla, S., & Al Bakri, A. A. K. (2023). Integrating green human resource management and circular economy to enhance sustainable performance: An empirical study from the Qatari service sector. *Employee Relations: The International Journal*, *45*(2), 535-563. https://doi.org/10.1108/er-01-2022-0041/full/html

Ogunmakinde, O. E., Egbelakin, T., & Sher, W. (2022). Contributions of the circular economy to the UN sustainable development goals through sustainable construction. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *178*, 1-21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2021.106023

Rodriguez-Anton, J. M., Rubio-Andrada, L., Celemín-Pedroche, M., & Alonso-Almeida, M. (2019). Analysis of the relations between circular economy and sustainable development goals. *International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology*, *26*(8), 708-720. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504509.2019.1666754

Schröder, P., Lemille, A., & Desmond, P. (2020). Making the circular economy work for human development. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, *156*, 1-19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104686

Slorach, P. C., Jeswani, H. K., Cuéllar-Franca, R., & Azapagic, A. (2019). Environmental and economic implications of recovering resources from food waste in a circular economy.

Science of the Total Environment, *693*, 1-33. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.322

Sundar, D., Mathiyazhagan, K., Agarwal, V., Janardhanan, M., & Appolloni, A. (2023). From linear to a circular economy in the e-waste management sector: Experience from the transition barriers in the United Kingdom. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, *32*(7), 4282-4298. https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.3365

Tan, J., Tan, F. J., & Ramakrishna, S. (2022). Transitioning to a circular economy: A systematic review of its drivers and barriers. *Sustainability*, *14*(3), 1-13. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14031757

Tavri, P. (2020). *Is collaborative re-use a solution to help reduce waste production while maintaining economic growth? The organisational level study in the UK*. Kingston University. London. http://eprints.kingston.ac.uk/id/eprint/45504/

Tomić, T., & Schneider, D. R. (2020). Circular economy in waste management–Socio-economic effect of changes in waste management system structure. *Journal of environmental management*, *267*, 1-11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2020.110564

UKCG. (2022). Construction and demolition waste recycling report. In: UK Contractors Group.

Upadhyay, A., Kumar, A., & Akter, S. (2021). An analysis of UK retailers' initiatives towards circular economy transition and policy-driven directions. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*, 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-020-02004-9

Valenzuela, F., & Böhm, S. (2017). Against wasted politics: A critique of the circular economy. *Ephemera: theory & politics in organization*, *17*(1), 23-60. https://irep.ntu.ac.uk/id/eprint/30441/1/PubSub8234_Valenzuela.pdf

Van Ewijk, S. (2018). *Resource efficiency and the circular economy: Concepts, economic benefits, barriers, and policies* (A report prepared for the Department for Environment, Food & Rural Affairs (DEFRA) Issue. U. I. f. S. Resources.

Webster, K. (2015). *The circular economy: A wealth of flows*. Routledge.

WRAP. (2021). UK packaging recycling data. In: Waste & Resources Action Programme.

Yuille, A., Rothwell, S., Blake, L., Forber, K. J., Marshall, R., Rhodes, R., Waterton, C., & Withers, P. J. (2022). UK government policy and the transition to a circular nutrient economy. *Sustainability*, *14*(6), 1-19. https://doi.org/10.3390/su14063310